

RECORD OF DECISION TAKEN UNDER **DELEGATED AUTHORITY FROM EXECUTIVE/COUNCIL/COMMITTEE**

DELEGATED OFFICER

Denise Park

DECISION TAKEN BY: DELEGATED BY:

Executive

(date of delegation)

IN CONSULTATION

PORTFOLIO AREA:

Executive Member

WITH:

Regeneration

SUBJECT: Award of Contract for Maintenance Services to Traffic Control Devices and

1. DECISION

Infrastructure

To award the contract for a Maintenance Services to Traffic Control Devices and Infrastructure to Bidder A for a period of 8 years, with extensions upon satisfactory performance for a further 2 years.

2. REASON FOR DECISION

Approval was given by Executive Member Decision (published on 18th December 2017) to undertake a procurement exercise for the provision of a new traffic signals maintenance contract.

Following discussions with the industry about how best to manage the increased infrastructure installed since the previous contract was let, this procurement exercise was split in to two related tenders, incorporating the same terms and conditions and broadly similar specification requirements. The tenders are:

Tender 1: Provision of Maintenance Services to Traffic Control Devices and Infrastructure

Tender 2: Provision of Maintenance Services to Urban Traffic Control Communications and CCTV

This report refers to Tender 1 and responses received.

2.1 PQQ and ITT

Following Executive Member Decision, an Invitation to Tender (ITT) was loaded onto the Council's procurement portal, 'The Chest', for completion by potential suppliers with a submission deadline of 11th May 2018.

The Standard Questionnaire responses were evaluated by the Procurement team and each was scored on their responses to individual questions covering economic and financial standing and technical and professional ability as well as those relating to insurance levels, compliance with equality legislation and approach to environmental management and health and safety.

The ITT asked each bidder to respond to 15 method statements on how they would operate the various aspects of the contract, performance monitoring, innovation and risk management, as well as provide prices for a basic schedule of rates and an aggregated cost for maintenance of the various traffic control infrastructure.

RDA: V2/16 Page 1 of 4 On receipt of the bids, the evaluation panel consisted of a member of the Procurement team and a senior member of the Traffic team to provide technical expertise. The panel performed a score of the responses to each of the Method Statements. The evaluation criteria was based on quality of the response and how that would benefit the delivery of the service;

- the total cost of maintenance services,
- day to day contract operations,
- intelligent traffic systems support and operations

A total of 2 responses were received to the ITT, the details of which, and the toal scores for the responses which form 70% of the total marks, are outlined in the table below;

Evaluation critera	%	Bidder A	Bidder B
Total Score		98.5	77.38
Weighted Score	60%	59.37	46.43

The prices for the services returned in the submissions were evaluated with a weighted score.

Evaluation critera	%	Bidder A	Bidder B
Schedule of Rates	10	8.95	10
Annual Charges	30	30	24.09
Weighted Scores	40%	38.95	34.09

Aggregation of the final weighted scores gives

		Bidder A	Bidder B
Weighted Scores	100%	98.32	80.52

Consequently Bidder A is recommended for award of the tender as they exceed Bidder B's scores on both Quality and Price

3. BACKGROUND

Following Executive Member Decision on 18th December 2017, delegated authority was given to the Director of Regeneration in consultation with the Executive Member for Regeneration, to award the contract for the Provision of Maintenance Services to Traffic Control Devices and Infrastructure.

4. OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

The tender was compiled specifically to comply with the Councils performance obligations for DfT Level 3 funding for highways maintenance and management and takes features suited to the Borough from a number of different local authority tenders.

A separate agreement for the hosted systems was considered but rejected in order to have all ITS technological assets under the same contractual (one-stop-shop) agreement in order to minimise potential management and interfacing requirements between different suppliers.

RDA: V2/16 Page **2** of **4**

5. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The new contract will be important for the Councils continuing duties and obligations to efficiently manage traffic under its Network Management Duty and safely manage its highways assets.

6. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The overall cost to the revenue budget has increased due to combining the cost of the hosted systems in to the contract. This was previously part of a separate 5 year agreement funded from the Capital budget. Additional cost increases are due to increased assets installed on street and additional inspection and testing requirements.

7. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

The ITT process outlined above complies with the Council's Contract Procurement Procedure Rules and EU procurement law.

Advice has been, and will continue to be taken as appropriate from the Legal Services and Procurement teams to ensure compliance with procurement rules and law.

As part of the procurement process, the final contract will be in a form approved by Legal Services.

8. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

There will be an impact on the Traffic team in order to ensure that certain sections of the contract are complied with and that existing asset records are collated and made available to the contractor.

RDA: V2/16 Page **3** of **4**

9. EQUALITY AND HEALTH IMPLICATIONS Please select one of the options below. Where appropriate please include the hyperlink to the EIA.						
Option 1 Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) not required – the EIA checklist has been completed.						
Option 2 In determining this matter the Executive Member needs to consider the EIA associated with this item in advance of making the decision. (insert EIA link here)						
Option 3 In determining this matter the Executive Board Members need to consider the EIA associated with this item in advance of making the decision. (insert EIA attachment)						
42 221211 - 1-1212						
10. CONSULTATIONS						
No internal or external consultation	is have been ca	arried ot	ι.			
11. DECLARATION OF INTEREST All Declarations of Interest of the officer with delegation and the any Member who has been consulted, and note of any dispensation granted should be recorded below:						
VERSION: 1						
CONTACT OFFICER:	Chris Pearson					
DATE:	19/06/18					
BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS:	n/a					
DIDECTORO has been forced the base of the						
DIRECTORS - has legal and finance advice been considered?						
YES			NO			

No internal or external consultations have been carried out.